

# Université catholique de Louvain

# Simplification of the Hill Muscle Model Computation for Real-Time Walking Controllers with Large Time Steps



BICROB EPFL Biorobotics Laboratory

<u>Nicolas Van der Noot<sup>1</sup></u>, Florin Dzeladini<sup>2</sup>, Auke J. Ijspeert<sup>2</sup>, Renaud Ronsse<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Research in Energy and Mechatronics, Institute of Mechanics, Materials and Civil Engineering, Université catholique de Louvain (UCL), Belgium <sup>2</sup>Biorobotics Laboratory, Institute of Bioengineering, École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Switzerland

## Motivation & Proposed solution

Bio-inspired controllers are emerging as a promising way to implement dynamic walking. In this study, we implemented the one proposed by Geyer & Herr (2010), relying on reflex-controlled virtual **Hill muscles**. In this model, muscles' state is determined by the length ( $I_{ce}$ ) of their active, contractile element. However, its update rate is governed by a stiff and strongly non-linear state equation, thus requiring a **small integration time step**.



The contributions of this study are:

- the presentation of 3 methods to get the **steady-state** value of  $I_{ce}$
- the study of their accuracy and computational cost
- the illustration that the  $\mathbf{I}_{ce}$  dynamics can be neglected for  $\mathbf{fast}\ \mathbf{muscles}$
- a method to mix the steady-state approximation with the full muscle dynamic model for **slow muscles**

### Time step numerical issue

The length  $I_{ce}$  is updated with a time integration of its derivative  $\dot{I_{ce}} = f(I_{ce}, I_{mtu}, A)$ .

- $I_{mtu}$ : total muscle-tendon unit length
- A: activation provided by the motor neuron

Due to the stiffness and non-linearity of  $f(\cdot)$ , exceeding a **critical time step** value generates **numerical oscillations**.



Control rules are **impacted by**  $I_{ce}$  in the feedback loop, causing the walker to fall.

#### Steady-state computation - Three methods to get $I_{ce}$ without integration

Neglecting the muscle dynamics:  $I_{ce}$  is always at steady-state, i.e.  $\dot{I_{ce}} = 0$ . Then, the problem is to solve  $f(I_{ce}, I_{mtu}, A) = 0$ , i.e. to find  $I_{ce} = g(I_{mtu}, A)$ .



#### Results

We compare the three steady-state  $I_{ce}$  profiles with a reference based on the muscle dynamics.

- LUT: refining the mesh increases the accuracy.
- **TOP**: accuracy deteriorated for some muscles at some phases of the gait.
- NRS: excellent fit with only one iteration, except when the gradient of  $f(\cdot)$  is close to 0.



#### Slow dynamics muscles case

At some phases of the gait, the *soleus muscle* **dynamics cannot be neglected**, i.e. all steady-state approximations diverge from the actual  $I_{ce}$  value.

- Reference computed with a 0.5 ms time step, the others with a 10 ms one.
- Neither using the full dynamics model nor a steady-state approximation provided a correct fit.
- Combining these two signals led to a correct fit. Combination is done by saturating the  $I_{ce}$  determined by the full dynamics to the steady-state value.

|I<sub>ce (mm)</sub> soleus muscle



The LUT approximation **never diverges** and is **accurate**, provided a good mesh refinement.

We replaced the full dynamics model by these steady-state approximations.

- Any of the these approximations preserved the dynamic walking gait.
- However, the pattern was more jerky than with the original model.
- Re-optimizing the controller led to retrieve natural and smooth gaits.

Controllers were able to cope with **3 ms time steps**, instead of **0.5 ms before**.

This **signal combination** highly improves the accuracy for **slow dynamics** muscles computed with **large time steps**.

#### Acknowledgment & Reference

This work is supported by FP7 under Grant 611832 (WALK-MAN), by UCLouvain (IMMC11/13) and by F.R.S.-FNRS (Ph.D. scholarship to N. Van der Noot).

H. Geyer and H. Herr. A muscle-reflex model that encodes principles of legged mechanics produces human walking dynamics and muscle activities. *Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering, IEEE Transactions on*, 18(3):263 - 273, 2010.